SMC EX! PLORER



Cosmic Explorer

Duncan Brown, Syracuse University

https://cosmicexplorer.org/





Do gravitational waves exist?



What happens when two black holes collide? (Do black holes really have no hair?



What are the progenitors of short gamma ray bursts? What is the engine that powers them?



How does core collapse power a supernova? How does angular momentum transport work in massive stars?



What is the nuclear equation of state at high densities? Are there phase transitions in neutron stars?





However, the energy radiated is enormous

Solar luminosity L ~ 10^{33} erg/s Gamma Ray Bursts L ~ 1049-52 erg/s

The strength of the gravitational waves radiated is given by their strain h(t) = change in length / length

$h \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{E_{\rm NS}}{r} \sim 10^{-21}$

$L_{\rm GW} \sim \left(\frac{c^5}{G}\right) \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^6 \left(\frac{R_{\rm S}}{r}\right)^2 \sim 10^{59} {\rm erg/s}$

Proxima Centauri

4.2 light years

En al The to a set air at allowing and

Imagine measuring this distance to a precision of ten microns

Advanced LIGO









Abbott,..., DAB et al. PRL **119** 161101 (2017)



The information about the EOS is encoded in the gravitational-wave phase evolution

$\Phi_{\rm GW}(t) = 0 pN(t; \mathcal{M}) \left[1 + 1 pN(t; \eta) + \dots + 3.5 pN(t; \eta) + 5 pN(t; \text{EOS})\right]$

$\mathcal{M} = \frac{(m_1 m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}}$

$$\eta = \frac{(m_1 m_2)}{(m_1 + m_2)^2}$$

Peters and Mathews Phys. Rev. **131**, 435 (1963), Blanchet Liv. Rev. Rel. 17, 2 (2014)







Tidal effects enter the post-Newtonian gravitational-wave phase as

$$\Lambda \equiv \frac{\lambda}{m^5} = \frac{2}{3}k_2 \left(\frac{Gm}{Rc^2}\right)^{-5}$$

$$(1+q)^{5} (1+q)^{4} \Lambda_{2}$$

 $q = m_2/m_1 \le 1$

Flanagan and Hinderer PRD 77 021502 (2008)





ChiralSoftest, $B_{BBH}^{ChiralSoftest} = 2.1$ - Chiral_14125, $B_{\text{BBH}}^{\text{Chiral}_14125} = 2.3$ Chiral_3836, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_3836} = 2.7$ Chiral_Soft1, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_Soft1} = 2.8$ Chiral_230, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_{230}} = 2.8$ Chiral_8428, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_8428} = 2.4$ Chiral_13990, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_{-13990}} = 2.4$ - Chiral_mid2, $B_{\rm BBH}^{\rm Chiral_mid2} = 1.1$ SLy, $B_{
m BBH}^{
m SLy}=0.96$ MPA1, $B_{\text{BBH}}^{\text{MPA1}} = 0.26$ Chiral_4264, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_{4264}} = 0.21$ - Chiral_Stiffest_2nsat, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_Stiffest_2nsat} = 0.21$ Chiral_10306, $B_{\rm BBH}^{\rm Chiral_{10306}} = 0.2$ Chiral_10549, $B_{\rm BBH}^{\rm Chiral_10549} = 0.17$ Chiral_2544, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_{2544}} = 0.12$ Chiral_12975, $B_{\rm BBH}^{\rm Chiral_{12975}} = 0.17$ Chiral_1239, $B_{\rm BBH}^{\rm Chiral_{1239}} = 0.14$ Chiral_mid1, $B_{BBH}^{Chiral_mid1} = 0.039$ H4, $B_{\rm BBH}^{\rm H4} = 0.022$ MS1, $B_{BBH}^{MS1} = 9.5e-05$ ChiralStiffest, $B_{BBH}^{ChiralStiffest} = 5.3e-06$ Chiral_5743 Chiral_10124 22.525.020 km

Calculate Bayes factor for specific EOS vs BBH

Only the stiffest EOS are ruled out at high confidence

Soft EOSes and black holes are all consistent with GW170817

c.f. Abbott et al. CQG **37** 045006 (2020)



GW170817 DECam observation (0.5–1.5 days post merger)

GW170817 DECam observation (>14 days post merger)

Ν

Soares-Santos,..., DAB, et al. ApJ 848 L16 (2017)



F



Capano, Tews, Brown, De, Margalit, Kumar, DAB, Krishnan, Reddy, Nature Astron. 4, 625 (2020)





Distribution of number of events required to reach 2% precision in the neutron star radius

What is the future of gravitationalwave astronomy beyond LIGO?

What sets the detector sensitivity?

- Gravitational-wave detectors are essentially antennas
- The highest frequency of interest sets the ideal scale of the antenna
- For neutron star mergers, this is ~ few kHz
- Detector length should be ~ few x 10 km
- About ten times the size of Advanced LIGO
- Scaling up arm length gains sensitivity with only modest technology improvements

Evans, ..., DAB, et al. arXiv:2306.13745 (2023)

CMB

Detect the majority of neutron star mergers in the universe!

All-sky coverage for GRBs in the Cosmic Explorer era will maximize the science output

Z

Redshift

Pop III Black Holes

100

SNP

SNIP

GW190521

Precision measurement of the masses and spins of large numbers of compact objects

Explore the core collapse mechanism and angular momentum transport in massive stars

GW150914

Holes

Ck

ת

 \mathbf{m}

Connect remnant physics to EM observations of progenitors

Fundamental Physics and Exotic Sources

Is dark matter hiding in the cores of neutron stars?

Do sub-solar mass neutron stars exist?

Bandopadhyay, ..., DAB, et al. Phys. Rev. D 107, 103012 (2023)

Potential for New Discoveries

Core collapse supernovae

Gravitational Waves from Pulsars

Supernovae in Cosmic Explorer

Srivastava, Ballmer, DAB, Afle, Burrows, Radice, Vartanyan PRD 100, 043026 (2019)

70 kpc at SNR 8 95 kpc at SNR 8 c.f. DUNE

For a galactic progenitor with $\beta = 0.02$, 90 % credible interval is 0.02 (aLIGO), 0.002 (CE)

A galactic supernova observed by Cosmic Explorer could constrain fpeak to within 10 Hz

Afle and DAB Phys. Rev. D 103, 023005 (2021)

Around 400 ms after the bounce, most of the energy is in the f-mode of the protoneutron star

For supernova < 10 kpc Cosmic Explorer can measure the energy in the f-mode of the protoneutron star to within 20%

-20

Afle, ..., DAB, et al. Phys. Rev. D **107**, 123005 (2023)

Where is Cosmic Explorer today?

Launching the Cosmic Explorer Conceptual Design Identifying and Evaluating Sites for Cosmic Explorer Cosmic Explorer Optical Design Enabling Megawatt Optical Power in Cosmic Explorer Local Gravity Disturbances and Scattered-Light Mitigation

Cosmic Explorer Horizon Study Summarizes the roadmap for US third-generation detectors

- https://dcc.cosmicexplorer.org/CE-P2100003/public
- For the next few years, we (including you!) will be
 - Deepening our understanding of the next-generation science case,
 - Developing instrument science to pave the wave for new detectors
 - Creating theoretical frameworks and data analysis algorithms for CE science
- Join the consortium!
- https://cosmicexplorer.org/consortium.html

Cosmic Explorer NSF White Paper Responds to the NSF MPS Advisory Committee request

- arXiv:2306.13745
- Updates Horizon Study
- Incorporates new community input from consortium science letters
 <u>https://dcc.cosmicexplorer.org/cgi-bin/private/DocDB/DisplayMeeting?</u>
- <u>https://dcc.cosmicexplorer.org/cgi-conferenceid=1053</u>
- Begins detailed comparison of possible detector configurations

Design parameter	A+	A [♯]	CE
Arm length	4 km	4 km	20 km, 40 km
Arm power	750 kW	1.5 MW	1.5 MW
Squeezing level	6 dB	10 dB	10 dB
Test mass mass	40 kg	100 kg	320 kg
Test mass coatings	A+	A+/2	A+
Suspension length	1.6 m	1.6 m	4 m
Newtonian mitigation	0 dB	6 dB	20 dB

Evans, ..., DAB, et al. arXiv:2306.13745 (2023)

	$CE40+CE20+1A^{\sharp}$ (4020A) $CE40+2A^{\sharp}$ (40LA) $3A^{\sharp}$ (HLA)	$\mathbf{A})$
§2.1 BHs and NSs Throughout Cosmic Time	Detect 500 BNS mergers at z > 5 Detect 500 BBH mergers at z > 10 ($\Delta m_1/m_1 < 20\%$)	Unachiev Unachiev
§2.2 Multi-messenger Astrophysics and Dynamics of Dense Matter	Locate 100 BNS mergers within $\Delta \Omega < 1 \text{ deg}^2$ Constrain Nuclear Equation of State (NS radius < 10 m) Map 500 GRBs to progenitors ($z > 2$; $\Delta \Omega < 100 \text{ deg}^2$) Detect 10 BNS mergers 300 s before merger ($\Delta \Omega < 10 \text{ deg}^2$)	100 y Unachiev >100 y
§2.3 New Probes of Extreme Astrophysics	Detect BNS with post-merger $SNR > 5$ Detect 25 millisecond pulsars	40 y
§2.4 Fundamental Physics and Cosmology	Measure H_0 to within 0.2% Detect 10 BBH mergers with SNR > 1000	3600 y 500 y
§2.5 Early Universe	Detect Stochastic Background for $\Omega_{\rm GW} < 5 \times 10^{-12}$	40 y
Time [Years]	1 10 20	

$CE40+2A^{\sharp}$	(40LA)	$3A^{\sharp}$ (HLA)
--------------------	--------	---------------------

cosmicexplorer.org

Home Overview Science News The CE Consortium - For Researchers - NSF Funding -

Cosmic Explorer

Next-generation gravitational-wave observatories

